APCO Performance Summary

Company Name: **Nintendo Australia Pty Limited**

Trading As:

ABN: **43060566083**

Overall Performance **71% - Leading**

The score above and chart below indicate your organisation's overall performance in the **2023** APCO Annual Report. With your chosen reporting period of **January, 2022 - December, 2022** you have achieved a **Leading** overall performance level.

1 Getting Started **2** Good Progress **3** Advanced **4** Leading **5** Beyond Best Practice

Criteria Performance Levels

The chart below indicates your organisation's performance against each of the Packaging Sustainability Framework criteria in the 2023 APCO Annual Report. Each band represents a performance level with the green indicating your level of performance for each.

Criteria 7
Problematic Materials

Criteria 1
Governance & Strategy

Criteria 6
On-site Waste

Criteria 2
Design & Procurement

Criteria 5
Disposal Labelling

Criteria 3
Recycled Content

Criteria 4
Recoverability

● Performance Level
● Your Score

Contact

A: Suite 1102, Level 11, 55 Clarence Street, Sydney, NSW, 2000
E: apco@apco.org.au

APCO AUSTRALIAN PACKAGING COVENANT ORGANISATION

About APCO Annual Reporting

Understanding APCO Annual Reporting performance levels:

1 **Getting Started:** You are at the start of your packaging sustainability journey.

2 **Good Progress:** You have made some first steps on your packaging sustainability journey.

3 **Advanced:** You have taken tangible action on your packaging sustainability journey.

4 **Leading:** You have made significant progress on your packaging sustainability journey.

5 **Beyond Best Practice:** You have received the highest performance level and have made significant progress on your packaging sustainability journey.

Packaging Sustainability Framework criteria

Criteria 1:
Governance & Strategy

This criteria considers actions to integrate packaging sustainability into business strategies.

Criteria 2:
Design & Procurement

This criteria considers actions taken to ensure that sustainability principles are considered in the design or procurement of both new and existing packaging through use of the Sustainable Packaging Guidelines (SPGs).

Criteria 3:
Recycled Content

This criteria considers actions taken to increase or optimise the amount of recycled material used by your organisation.

Criteria 4:
Recoverability

This criteria considers actions taken to improve the recovery of packaging at end-of-life and increase use of reusable packaging.

Criteria 5:
Disposal Labelling

This criteria considers the extent to which packaging has been labelled to help consumers determine what to do with packaging at end-of-life.

Criteria 6:
On-site Waste

This criteria considers progress in increasing the amount of on-site solid waste being diverted from landfill.

Criteria 7:
Problematic Materials

This criteria considers the extent to which organisations are actively phasing out problematic and unnecessary single-use plastic packaging and preventing/reducing litter.

APCO Annual Report Criteria Performance & Responses

Provided below are your organisation's responses to the questions within the APCO Annual Report as well as a performance level for each criteria.

Criteria 1:

Governance & Strategy: 2 Good Progress

| 1 Getting Started | 2 Good Progress | 3 Advanced | 4 Leading | 5 Beyond Best Practice |

Does your organisation have a documented strategy that includes goals (objectives) and targets for packaging sustainability that addresses the Sustainable Packaging Guidelines (SPGs) or equivalent?
● Yes ○ No

Does your organisation's strategy include a commitment to achieving the 2025 National Packaging Targets?
○ Yes ● No

Is this strategy integrated within your business processes and has it been approved by an executive or board of directors?
○ Yes ● No

Do you regularly communicate and promote packaging sustainability objectives and targets within your organisation?
○ Yes ● No

Do you regularly engage or communicate with external stakeholders (suppliers, final consumers, community groups etc.) about the environmental impacts of your packaging?
● Yes ○ No

Do you actively participate in any other initatives to promote packaging sustainability outside of your organisation?
○ Yes ● No

Supporting Evidence

NAL Internal Policy: NP-099 - Environmental and Green Procurement Policy. Also available on Nintendo Australia website.
Where required we ensure our suppliers have appropriate certification such as but not limited to FSC certification. We source packaging that is either made form recycled material or can be recycled.

Criteria 2:

Design & Procurement: 5 Beyond Best Practice

| 1 Getting Started | 2 Good Progress | 3 Advanced | 4 Leading | 5 Beyond Best Practice |

APCO AUSTRALIAN PACKAGING COVENANT ORGANISATION

How many of your 131 SKUs have had their packaging reviewed against the Sustainable Packaging Guidelines (or equivalent) in the last 5 years?

| 131 |

Please indicate the accuracy of this response.

| High |

Do you require your suppliers to use the Sustainable Packaging Guidelines (SPGs) or equivalent for your packaging?

● Yes ○ No ○ N/A

Please tell us about any positive outcomes from your packaging reviews.

We believe there are certain positive outcomes.

Do you believe applying the SPGs delivers business value to your organisation?

● Yes ○ No

Which of the following Sustainable Packaging Principles have been considered in reviews of your organisation's packaging against the Sustainable Packaging Guidelines (SPGs)?

Design for recovery

● Yes ○ No

Optimise material efficiency

● Yes ○ No

Design to reduce product waste

● Yes ○ No

Eliminate hazardous materials

● Yes ○ No

Use of renewable materials

● Yes ○ No

Use recycled materials

● Yes ○ No

Design to minimise litter

○ Yes ● No

Design for transport efficiency

● Yes ○ No

Design for accessibility

● Yes ○ No

Provide consumer information on environmental sustainability

○ Yes ● No

How many of the 131 SKUs have packaging that has been optimised for material efficiency in the last 5 years?

| 131 |

Please indicate the accuracy of this response.

High

If yes, please tell us about any material savings you have made.

Supporting Evidence

The 131 SKUs were reviewed at the time of design, but are not being continuously reviewed after that.

Criteria 3:

Recycled Content: ⑤ Beyond Best Practice

| ① Getting Started | ② Good Progress | ③ Advanced | ④ Leading | ⑤ Beyond Best Practice |

Do you have a policy or procedure to buy products and/or packaging made from recycled materials?

● Yes ○ No

Which of the following products that you either purchase or sell contain recycled materials?

- ● Primary packaging that you use to sell your products
- ● Secondary packaging that you use to sell your products
- ● Tertiary Packaging that you use to sell your packaging
- ○ Your products
- ● Other items which you purchase
  (e.g. office stationary & supplies, building materials such a bollards etc.)
- ○ None of the above

How many 131 SKUs has at least some packaging that is made from recycled material?

131

Please indicate the accuracy of this response.

High

If you do not currently use recycled materials in any of your packaging, please indicate why:

○ Cannot find a supplier who provides recycled materials

○ We cannot use recycled materials in contact with our product

● Cost is prohibitive

○ Other (please specify)

Please specify

In these situations we use products that have an FSC Certification

○ None of the above

Supporting Evidence

In general, paper products include recycled materials.

Criteria 4:

Recoverability: 4 Leading

1 Getting Started	2 Good Progress	3 Advanced	4 Leading	5 Beyond Best Practice

How many of your 131 SKUs have all packaging components that are recoverable (i.e. recyclable or compostable) at end-of-life?

130

Please indicate the accuracy of this response.

High

How many of your 131 SKUs have separable components with mixed recoverability at end-of-life? (e.g. a jar that is recyclable with a lid that is not recyclable)

1

Please indicate the accuracy of this response.

| High |

How many of your 131 SKUs have been assessed in the Packaging Recyclability Evaluation Portal (PREP)?

| 0 |

If you use compostable packaging, please indicate the type(s) of compostable certification (if any):

- ○ Certified home compostable (AS5810)?
- ○ Certified industrial compostable (AS4736)?
- ○ Certified compostable to another certification (i.e. not AS5810 or AS4736)?
- ○ Compostable (not certified)?
- ● None of the above

How many of your 131 SKUs are not recoverable at end-of-life and must go to landfill? (i.e. not recyclable or compostable)

| 0 |

Have you investigated if there are any opportunities to use reusable packaging?

● Yes ○ No

If yes, how many of your 131 SKUs have packaging for which all components are reusable?

| 0 |

Please give an indication on the accuracy of this response.

| High |

Which of the following reusable business to business items did your organisation utilise during the previous 12 month period?

- ● Pallets
- ○ Crates
- ○ Drums
- ○ Intermediate Bulk Containers (IBCs)
- ○ Other (please specify)

Please specify

- ○ None of the above

Tick all that apply - Was this reused:
Internally (between your organisation's sites & facilities)?
Externally (with other organisations such as suppliers or customers)?

Pallets   ○ Internal ● External

Crates   ○ Internal ○ External

Drums   ○ Internal ○ External

Intermediate Bulk Containers (IBCs) ○ Internal ○ External

Other (specified above) ○ Internal ○ External

Does your organisation participate in any of the following closed-loop recovery programs/alternative collection systems for your packaging?

○ REDcycle

○ Terracycle

○ Container Deposit Scheme (CDS)

○ DrumMUSTER

● Other (please specify)

Please specify

> Ink Cartridge Recycling via HP Planet Programs Partner

○ N/A (All our packaging is recovered through mainstream recovery systems)

○ None of the above

Supporting Evidence

> In the case of reuse, we have determined that the amount of energy needed to operate a recovery system is disproportionate to the amount of resources that could be recovered.

Criteria 5:

Disposal Labelling: 2 Good Progress

1 Getting Started	2 Good Progress	3 Advanced	4 Leading	5 Beyond Best Practice

How many of your 131 SKUs have labelling on-pack to inform the consumer of how to correctly dispose of the packaging?

0

Please indicate the accuracy of this response.

High

Which of the following labels does your company presently use?

○ Australasian Recycling Label

○ Mobius Loop/Recycling symbol

○ Tidy man

○ Written instructions

○ REDcycle logo

○ Other (please specify)

Please specify

● None of the above

Supporting Evidence

Criteria 6:

On-site Waste: 5 Beyond Best Practice

1 Getting Started	2 Good Progress	3 Advanced	4 Leading	5 Beyond Best Practice

Tick which of the following packaging materials you have on-site recycling programs for:

- ● Paper/cardboard
- ● Soft plastics
- ● Rigid plastics
- ○ Timber
- ○ Textiles
- ○ Glass
- ● Metals
- ○ Other (please specify)

Please specify

- ○ All materials have recycling programs
- ○ None of the above

Over the reporting period, how much of the waste your organisation generated on-site was diverted from landfill (was recycled, composted, reused or sent for energy recovery)?	89%

Please indicate the accuracy of this response.	High

Which of the following facilities are included in the above waste data?

- ● Offices
- ● Warehouses
- ○ Stores

○ Manufacturing Facilities

○ Other (please specify)

Please specify

Of the 59.178 tonnes of waste generated on site a total of 52.688 tonnes is sent off for recycling or re-used internally

○ None of the above

Supporting Evidence

Criteria 7:

Problematic Materials: 3 Advanced

1 Getting Started   2 Good Progress   3 Advanced   4 Leading   5 Beyond Best Practice

Over the reporting period, which of the following activities did you undertake to help reduce the impact of litter?

○ Conduct regular clean ups

○ Participate in Business Clean Up Day

○ Sponsor a clean up day

○ Undertook a litter education campaign

○ Other (please specify)

Please specify

Due to COVID-19 Restrictions we were unable to take part in Business Clean Up Day in 2022

● None of the above

Please indicate which of the following problematic, unnecessary and single-use plastic packaging formats or materials your organisation has used over the last five years.

- ● Lightweight plastic shopping bags
- ○ Fragmentable (e.g. oxo-degradable) plastics
- ○ Expanded polystyrene (EPS) packaging for food and beverage service or retail fresh produce
- ○ EPS loose fill packaging
- ○ Moulded EPS packaging for white/brown goods or electronics
- ○ Rigid polyvinyl chloride (PVC) packaging
- ○ Rigid polystyrene (PS) packaging
- ○ Opaque polyethylene terephthalate (PET) bottles
- ○ Rigid plastic packaging with carbon black
- ○ None of the above

Please indicate which of the following problematic, unnecessary or single-use plastic packaging formats or materials your organisation is actively working to phase out?

- ● Lightweight plastic shopping bags
- ○ Fragmentable (e.g. oxo-degradable) plastics
- ○ Expanded polystyrene (EPS) packaging for food and beverage service or retail fresh produce
- ○ EPS loose fill packaging
- ○ Moulded EPS packaging for white/brown goods or electronics
- ○ Rigid polyvinyl chloride (PVC) packaging
- ○ Rigid polystyrene (PS) packaging
- ○ Opaque polyethylene terephthalate (PET) bottles
- ○ Rigid plastic packaging with carbon black
- ○ None of the above

Have you successfully phased out any of the above packaging formats or materials in the last 5 years?

- ○ Lightweight plastic shopping bags
- ○ Fragmentable (e.g. oxo-degradable) plastics
- ○ Expanded polystyrene (EPS) packaging for food and beverage service or retail fresh produce
- ○ EPS loose fill packaging
- ○ Moulded EPS packaging for white/brown goods or electronics
- ○ Rigid polyvinyl chloride (PVC) packaging
- ○ Rigid polystyrene (PS) packaging

○   Opaque polyethylene terephthalate (PET) bottles

○   Rigid plastic packaging with carbon black

●   None of the above

Supporting Evidence

Packaging Metrics

Please provide an indication of the accuracy level of your packaging metrics

Medium

What packaging materials do you use?

Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET), Polypropylene (PP), Cardboard.

Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET)

Total tonnes used	4	Average recycled content (%) (pre consumer)	50
Tonnes reusable packaging	0	Average recycled content (%) (post consumer)	50
Total single use packaging	4	Average recycled content (%) (unknown)	0

Polypropylene (PP)

Total tonnes used	2	Average recycled content (%) (pre consumer)	50
Tonnes reusable packaging	0	Average recycled content (%) (post consumer)	50
Total single use packaging	2	Average recycled content (%) (unknown)	0

Cardboard

Total tonnes used	127	Average recycled content (%) (pre consumer)	50
Tonnes reusable packaging	0	Average recycled content (%) (post consumer)	50
Total single use packaging	127	Average recycled content (%) (unknown)	0

Additional Information

⦿   No additional information

Describe initiatives, processes or practices that you have implemented during your chosen reporting period that have improved packaging sustainability

Describe any opportunities or constraints that affected performance within your chosen reporting period

Please use the space below to provide examples or case studies of exemplary packaging sustainability conducted by your organisation. The information provided in the boxes may be used directly in your public facing Annual Report and Action Plan. Submitted case studies might be used by APCO in the future to support other Members in achieving packaging sustainability.